arXiv:1205.5166v1 [math.AP] 23 May 2012

Global existence for a damped wave equation and convergence towards a solution of the Navier-Stokes problem

Imène HACHICHA [*]

Abstract

In two and three space dimensions, and under suitable assumptions on the initial data, we show global existence for a damped wave equation which approaches, in some sense, the Navier-Stokes problem. The proofs are based on a refinement of the energy method in [2].

In this paper, we improve the results of [2] and [8]. We relax the regularity of the initial data of the former, even though we still use energy methods as a principal tool. Regarding [8], the improvement consists in the simplicity of the proofs and in requiring less regularity for the convergence to the Navier-Stokes problem. Indeed, the convergence result we obtain is near-optimal regularity.

1 Introduction

First, let us recall the Navier-Stokes equations that describe the motion of a viscous, homegeneous and incompressible fluid

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\partial_t v-\Delta v=-\nabla : v\otimes v-\nabla p\\ \nabla . v=0\\ v|_{t=0}=v_0,\end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

where v is the velocity of the fluid and p the pressure, assumed to vanish at infinity, in the sense that

$$\frac{1}{|B(0,R)|}\int_{B(0,R)} p \;\longrightarrow\; 0\;,\quad R\rightarrow +\infty. \tag{2}$$

The hyperbolic version of the Navier-Stokes equations studied here has been obtained after relaxation of the Euler equations and rescaling variables (see [2] and [7] for the details):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon+\partial_t u^\varepsilon-\Delta u^\varepsilon=-\nabla : u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon-\nabla p^\varepsilon\\ \nabla . u^\varepsilon=0\\ (u^\varepsilon,\partial_t u^\varepsilon)|_{t=0}=(u_0^\varepsilon,u_1^\varepsilon).\end{array}\right. \tag{3}$$

This type of approximation is the same as the hyperbolic perturbation of the heat equation introduced and investigated by Cattaneo in the fifties (see [3] and further works).

---

[*] Laboratoire Analyse et Probabilités, Université d'Evry-Val d'Essonne 23 bd de France, 91037 Evry Cedex. E-mail : imene.hachicha@univ-evry.fr

In [2], Brenier, Natalini and Puel proved global existence and uniqueness for the perturbed Navier-Stokes equation (3) with initial data in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)^2 \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)^2$, where $\mathbb{T}^2$ is the unit periodic square $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and ε_0 depends only on the initial data $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon)$. Moreover, they proved the convergence of the solution to (3) towards a smooth solution to (1) in the $L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ norm, provided that v_0 is smooth.

In [8], Paicu and Raugel considered the same relaxed model (3). In their paper, they proved, again if ε is small enough, global existence and uniqueness results for (3) with significantly improved regularity for the initial data. In fact, they need only $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^2 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ regularity, thanks to a Strichartz estimate. In the three-dimensional case, they state a global existence result under a natural smallness condition on the initial data in $H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times H^\delta(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$, for $\delta > 0$ and ε small enough. Furthermore, they announced improved error estimates in the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ norm for $u^\varepsilon - v$ and in the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ norm for $\partial_t(t(u^\varepsilon - v))$ in the two-dimensional case, where u^ε is as above and v is the solution to (1) with $v_0 = u_0^\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ or $v_0 = u_0^\varepsilon \in H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$.

In two and three space dimensions and under suitable smallness assumptions on the initial data, we prove global existence for the Cauchy problem (3) in $\dot H^{\frac n2+\delta} \cap \dot H^{\frac n2-1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ in dimensions $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

Moreover, for all positive T, we prove the convergence in the $L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^n)$ norm, with $n = 2, 3$, of solutions to (3) towards solutions to the Navier-Stokes problem (1) with initial data $v_0 \in H^{\frac n2-1+s}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ and $s > 0$. More precisely, we prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let $T \geq 0$ and $0 < s, \delta < 1$. Let $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ be a divergence-free vector field and $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon) \in H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)^2 \times H^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ be a sequence of initial data for problem* (3)*. Assume*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \|u_0^\varepsilon - v_0\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon\|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac12}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^1} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{1+\delta}} + \varepsilon^{\frac\delta2}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^\delta} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac s2}\right) \\ \varepsilon^{1+\frac\delta2}\|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^\delta} = o\left(1\right). \end{array} \right. \tag{4}$$

Then, for ε small enough, there exists a global solution u^ε to system (3) *that converges, when ε goes to 0, in the $L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^2)$ norm, towards the unique solution v to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations* (1)*, with v_0 as initial data. Moreover, there exists a constant C_T, depending only on T and v, such that*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon - v|^2\,dx \leq C_T\varepsilon^{\left(\frac s2\right)^-}. \tag{5}$$

Theorem 2. *Let $T \geq 0$ and $0 < s, \delta < 1$. Let $v_0 \in H^{s+\frac12}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ be a divergence-free vector field and $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon) \in H^{\frac32+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times H^{\frac12+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ be a sequence of initial data for problem* (3) *such that $\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12}} < \frac{1}{16}$. Assume*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \|u_0^\varepsilon - v_0\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon\|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac12}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^1} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} + \varepsilon^{\frac\delta2}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac s2}\right) \\ \varepsilon^{1+\frac\delta2}\|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + \varepsilon\|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12}} + \varepsilon^{\frac12}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac32}} = o\left(1\right). \end{array} \right. \tag{6}$$

Then, for ε small enough, there exists a global solution u^ε to system (3) *that converges, when ε goes to 0, in the $L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^3)$ norm, towards the unique solution v to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations* (1)*, with v_0 as initial data. Moreover, there exists a constant C_T, depending only on T and v, such that*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u^\varepsilon - v|^2\,dx \leq C_T\varepsilon^{\min(s,\frac12)}. \tag{7}$$

Remark 1. *As a consequence of the assumptions* $\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \frac{1}{16}$ *and* $\|u_0^\varepsilon - v_0\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{2}}\right)$*, we obtain the smallness of* $\|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$*, which is a necessary condition to the existence of global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*.*

Remark 2. *We prove the convergence for initial data* $v_0 \in H^{s+\frac{n}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$*, where* $s > 0$ *and* $H^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ *is the critical space for the Navier-Stokes equation.*

Before going further, we should check up that, given v_0, there exists a couple of functions $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon)$ satisfying all assumptions (4) in Theorem 1, for example. First, since u_1^ε is not involved in the condition $\|u_0^\varepsilon - v_0\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{2}}\right)$, we can take $u_1^\varepsilon \equiv 0$. Then let u_0^ε be defined by

$$\widehat{u_0^\varepsilon}(\xi) = \widehat{v_0}(\xi)\ \mathbb{1}_{|\xi|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}.$$

Now a Bernstein inequality (see [6] page 24) gives

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma} \leq \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)^{s-\sigma} \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}$$

and easy calculations lead to the Jackson inequality

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon - v_0\|_{L^2} \leq \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)^{s} \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

Therefore, the conditions (4) are fulfilled by at least this particular choice of $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon)$. The same arguments lead to the existence of a couple of functions satisfying the assumptions (6) in Theorem 2.

The energy method we use in this paper is inspired by [2] and refined in order to take into account the loss of regularity of the initial data. Therefore, we frequently have to use tame estimates and interpolations; this makes the proofs more technical than in [2]. Moreover, we introduce a new energy, inspired from the classical one in [2, 8], which is more convenient for the spaces we work in. Let us notice that we do not use any Strichartz estimate in this paper so the proofs are easier to understand than those in [8]. Nevertheless, we lose the natural Strichartz regularity for the global existence results.

Aknowledgements. I would like to thank my advisers Valeria Banica and Pierre-Gilles Lemarié-Rieusset from the Laboratoire Analyse et Probabilités at the Université d'Evry-Val d'Essonne for their valuable discussions, help and advice.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we treat the two-dimensional case and prove Theorem 1. In the last section, we adapt the results to the three-dimensional case and prove Theorem 2.

2 The two-dimensional case : proof of Theorem 1

This section includes three subsections. In the first one, we prove the existence of a local solution to (3) using the Duhamel formula. Then we show that this solution is global and finally we prove the convergence to a solution to the Navier-Stokes equation, which is the last part of the statement of Theorem 1.

Notation: In the following, let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the Leray projection that maps a vector field to its zero-divergence part and, to alleviate the notations, let $L^p_T\mathcal{E}$ denote the space $L^p\left((0,T);\mathcal{E}\right)$.

Since $\mathbb{P}$ is a convolution operator on $\mathbb{R}^2$, it commutes with the differential operators. So, applying $\mathbb{P}$ to problem (3), we obtain the damped (nonlinear) wave equation

$$(NLW_\varepsilon)\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}\varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon+\partial_t u^\varepsilon-\Delta u^\varepsilon &=& -\mathbb{P}\nabla : u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon\\ (u^\varepsilon,\partial_t u^\varepsilon)_{|t=0} &=& (u_0^\varepsilon,u_1^\varepsilon).\end{array}\right. \tag{8}$$

which we consider with $(u_0^\varepsilon,u_1^\varepsilon)\in H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)\times H^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Local existence for this equation is admitted in [2,8]. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof in the next subsection. First, we shall perform a scale change, so that we will have to study the same equation with parameter $\varepsilon=1$:

$$(NLW)\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}\partial_{tt}u+\partial_t u-\Delta u &=& -\mathbb{P}\nabla : u\otimes u\\ (u,\partial_t u)_{|t=0} &=& (u_0,u_1)\in H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)\times H^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2).\end{array}\right.$$

In this purpose, let us set

$$u^\varepsilon(\tau,y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}u\left(\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon},\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right). \tag{9}$$

This scaling transforms system (NLW_ε) into system (NLW) with initial data

$$\begin{array}{rcl}u_0(x) &=& \sqrt{\varepsilon}u_0^\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}x\right)\\ u_1(x) &=& \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\,u_1^\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}x\right)\end{array}$$

As usual, let us denote by $\Box$ the D'Alembert operator: $\Box=\partial_{tt}-\Delta$ and rewrite (NLW) as follows.

$$(NLW)\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}\Box u &=& -\partial_t u-\mathbb{P}\nabla : u\otimes u =: F(u)\\ (u,\partial_t u)_{|t=0} &=& (u_0,u_1)\in H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)\times H^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2).\end{array}\right. \tag{10}$$

Duhamel formula for u solution to (NLW) is then

$$u(t)=\cos(t\Lambda)u_0+\frac{\sin(t\Lambda)}{\Lambda}u_1+\int_0^t\frac{\sin\left((t-s)\Lambda\right)}{\Lambda}F\left(u(s)\right)\,ds=:\phi\left(u\right)(t)\ , \tag{11}$$

where Λ is the differentiation operator $\Lambda=\sqrt{-\Delta}$, defined in Fourier variables by

$$\widehat{\Lambda f}(\xi)=|\xi|\hat{f}(\xi).$$

2.1 Local existence in $\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R}^2)$

We show local existence for (NLW) in the complete metric space

$$\begin{array}{rcl}X_T(a) &=& \Big\{(f,\partial_t f)\in L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^\delta)(\mathbb{R}^2)\times L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)\ :\ \nabla.f=0\ ,\\ && \|f\|_{X_T}\ :=\ \|f\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}+\|f\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}+\|\partial_t f\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}\leq a\Big\}\,,\end{array} \tag{12}$$

with $a>0$ and $0<T<1$ to be chosen later. Let $0\leq t\leq T$.

In the following, we use two facts: first, $\dot{H}^{1+\delta} \cap \dot{H}^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an algebra (see the book by Alinhac and Gérard [1]) and the inequality

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^{\delta}} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\right) \tag{13}$$

holds for all $f, g \in \dot{H}^{1+\delta} \cap \dot{H}^{\delta}$. Secondly, since u is divergence-free, one can easily check that

$$\nabla : u \otimes u = u.\nabla u.$$

We want to apply the Picard iteration theorem. So we shall start by looking for suitable reals a and T such that $X_T(a)$ is stable under ϕ.
Let $(u, \partial_t u) \in X_T(a)$. Using Duhamel formula (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(u)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} &\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \left\|\frac{\sin(t\Lambda)}{\Lambda}u_1\right\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \int_0^t \left\|\frac{\sin((t-s)\Lambda)}{\Lambda}F(u(s))\right\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} ds \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \int_0^t \|F(u(s))\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\, ds \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \int_0^t \|\partial_t u(s) + \mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider the integral term.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\partial_t u(s) + \mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\, ds &\leq \int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \|\mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\right) ds \\
&\leq T\left(\|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{H}^{\delta}} + \|\mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{H}^{\delta}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$\vec{f}.\nabla\vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_i \vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i(f_i\vec{f}), \tag{14}$$

that holds for any divergence-free vector $\vec{f}$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\|\mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\partial_i(u_i u)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_i u\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} \leq 4C\|u\|^2_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^{\delta}}$$

thanks to inequality (13). Thus, we have the following estimate

$$\|\phi(u)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + Ta\left(1 + 4Ca\right). \tag{15}$$

In order to estimate the $\dot{H}^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ norm of $\phi(u)(t)$, we use that the operator $\dfrac{\sin(t\Lambda)}{t\Lambda}$ is bounded for all positive t and that its norm is less than 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(u)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} &\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \|\frac{\sin(t\Lambda)}{\Lambda}u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \int_0^t \left\|\frac{\sin((t-s)\Lambda)}{\Lambda}F(u(s))\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} ds \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + T\left\|\frac{\sin(t\Lambda)}{t\Lambda}u_1\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \int_0^t (t-s)\left\|\frac{\sin((t-s)\Lambda)}{(t-s)\Lambda}F(u(s))\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} ds \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + T\|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \int_0^t (t-s)\|F(u(s))\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t (t-s)\|F(u(s))\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\, ds &\leq T\int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t u\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \|u.\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\right)(s)\, ds \\
&\leq T\int_0^t \left(\|u\|_{X_T} + 4C\|u\|^2_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^{\delta}}\right)(s)\, ds \\
&\leq T^2\left(\|u\|_{X_T} + 4C\|u\|^2_{L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^{\delta})}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\|\phi(u)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + T\|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + T^2\left(a + 4Ca^2\right). \tag{16}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t\left[\phi(u)\right](t)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} &\leq \|-\Lambda\sin(t\Lambda)u_0\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + \|\cos(t\Lambda)u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + \int_0^t \|\cos\left((t-s)\Lambda\right)F(u)(s)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta}\,ds \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + T\left(\|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta} + 4C\|u\|^2_{L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^\delta)}\right) \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + Ta\left(1 + 4Ca\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain the estimate

$$\|\phi(u)\|_{X_T} \leq 2\left(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta}\right) + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + T\|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + aT(2+T)(1+4Ca). \tag{17}$$

Let us set $a = 4\left(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta}\right)$ and $T < 1$ such that :

$$T\frac{a}{4} + aT(2+T)(1+4Ca) \leq \frac{a}{2}. \tag{18}$$

Since $T < 1$, it is sufficient for T to satisfy

$$T \leq \frac{1}{12(1+4Ca)}. \tag{19}$$

With a and T chosen that way, the space $X_T(a)$ is stable under ϕ.
It remains to prove that $\phi : X_T(a) \longrightarrow X_T(a)$ is contractive. So let $(u, \partial_t u)$ and $(v, \partial_t v)$ be two elements of $X_T(a)$. Again using Duhamel formula (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\phi(u) - \phi(v)\right](t) &= \int_0^t \frac{\sin\left((t-s)\Lambda\right)}{\Lambda}\left(\partial_t v + \mathbb{P}(v.\nabla v) - \partial_t u - \mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\right)(s)\,ds \\
&= \int_0^t \frac{\sin\left((t-s)\Lambda\right)}{\Lambda}\left[\partial_t(v-u) + \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v\otimes v - u\otimes u)\right](s)\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate the X_T norm of $\phi(u) - \phi(v)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\left[\phi(u) - \phi(v)\right](t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_t(v-u) + \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v\otimes v - u\otimes u)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}\,ds \\
&\leq T\left(\|\partial_t(v-u)\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla : (v\otimes v - u\otimes u)\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}\right) \\
&\leq T\left(\|v-u\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla : (v\otimes v - u\otimes u)\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since u and v are divergence-free, one can check easily that the identity

$$\nabla : (v\otimes v - u\otimes u) = (v-u).\nabla v + u.\nabla(v-u) \tag{20}$$

holds and we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\left[\phi(u) - \phi(v)\right](t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} &\leq T\left(\|v-u\|_{X_T} + \|(v-u).\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta} + \|u.\nabla(v-u)\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}\right) \\
&\leq T\Big(\|v-u\|_{X_T} + 4C\|v-u\|_{X_T}\|v\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^\delta)} + \\
&\qquad\qquad + 4C\|u\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^\delta)}\|v-u\|_{X_T}\Big) \\
&\leq T\left(1 + 4C\|v\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^\delta)} + 4C\|u\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{1+\delta}\cap\dot{H}^\delta)}\right)\|v-u\|_{X_T} \\
&\leq T\left(1 + 8Ca\right)\|v-u\|_{X_T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, we estimate the $\dot{H}^\delta$ norm as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\| [\phi(u)-\phi(v)](t)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)\left\| \frac{\sin((t-s)\Lambda)}{(t-s)\Lambda} \left(\partial_t(v-u)+\mathbb{P}\nabla:(v\otimes v-u\otimes u)\right)(s)\right\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} ds \\
&\leq T\int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t(v-u)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta}+\|\nabla(v\otimes v-u\otimes u)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta}\right)(s)\,ds \\
&\leq T^2\left(\|v-u\|_{X_T}+\|(v-u).\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}+\|u.\nabla(v-u)\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}\right) \\
&\leq T^2(1+8Ca)\|v-u\|_{X_T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, computing $\partial_t[\phi(u)-\phi(v)](t)$ and estimating its $\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)$ norm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t[\phi(u)-\phi(v)](t)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} &\leq \int_0^t \|\cos((t-s)\Lambda)\left[\partial_t(v-u)+\mathbb{P}\nabla:(v\otimes v-u\otimes u)\right]\|_{\dot{H}^\delta}(s)\,ds \\
&\leq T\left(\|\partial_t(v-u)\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}+\|(v-u).\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}+\|u.\nabla(v-u)\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^\delta}\right) \\
&\leq T(1+8Ca)\|v-u\|_{X_T}.
\end{aligned}$$

By similar computations and using (20), we have the estimate

$$\|\phi(u)-\phi(v)\|_{X_T}\leq T(2+T)(1+8Ca)\|v-u\|_{X_T} \tag{21}$$

so ϕ is contractive if

$$T(2+T)<\frac{1}{(1+8Ca)}, \tag{22}$$

or, since $T<1$, if

$$T<\frac{1}{3(1+8Ca)}. \tag{23}$$

Combining conditions (19) and (23) above, we deduce the local existence of a solution to (NLW), defined on $[0,T[$, for all positive T such that

$$T\leq\frac{1}{12+48C\left(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}\right)}. \tag{24}$$

In particular, as long as $\|u(t)\|_X:=\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ remains bounded, we can iterate the fixed point argument and extend the solution.

2.2 Globalization

Let us resume the initial equation (NLW_ε). One can easily check that

$$\|u(t)\|_X=\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\varepsilon\|\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}\right). \tag{25}$$

Then, for all non negative real δ and all non negative t, we define the energy

$$E^\delta_\varepsilon(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda^\delta(u^\varepsilon+\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon)|^2+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\Lambda^\delta\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon|\Lambda^{1+\delta}u^\varepsilon|^2\right)dx \tag{26}$$

so that we have

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}+\varepsilon\|\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)}\right)\leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\sqrt{E^\delta_\varepsilon}. \tag{27}$$

In this subsection, we shall prove the following inequality that yields the globality of the solution.

$$E_\varepsilon^\delta(t) \le C_0 \varepsilon^{-\delta} \tag{28}$$

for all $t > 0$, where C_0 is a universal constant.

First, let us point out that $\dot H^{1+\delta} \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an algebra and that the product estimate

$$\|fg\|_{\dot H^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C_1 \left(\|f\|_{\dot H^{1+\delta}} \|g\|_\infty + \|g\|_{\dot H^{1+\delta}} \|f\|_\infty \right). \tag{29}$$

holds (see [1]) for all functions $f, g \in \dot H^{1+\delta} \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, we know that the homogeneous Besov[1] space $\dot B^1_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ embeds into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and, interpolating, we obtain

$$\|f\|_\infty \;\le\; \tilde C \|f\|_{\dot B^1_{2,1}} \;\le\; C_2 \, \|f\|^{\delta}_{\dot H^\delta} \cdot \|f\|^{1-\delta}_{\dot H^{1+\delta}} \, . \tag{30}$$

Lemma 1. *Assume the following, when* ε *goes to zero :*

$$(H) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} i) & \varepsilon^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{1+\delta}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^\delta} = o(1) \\ ii) & \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^1} + \varepsilon \|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = o(1). \end{array} \right.$$

Let us define $0 \le T \le T_\varepsilon^{\max}$ *by*

$$T = \sup \left\{ 0 \le \tau \le T_\varepsilon^{\max} \;:\; \forall\, t \in [0, \tau[, \; \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty < \frac{1}{8\, C_1 \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right\}. \tag{31}$$

Then, for ε *small enough, there exists a large number* N*, only depending on* δ *and* $\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$ *(which is arbitrary), such that, for all* $0 \le t \le T$*,*

$$E_\varepsilon^\delta(t) \le E_\varepsilon^\delta(0) \left(2\|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2} + 1 \right)^N . \tag{32}$$

Proof. Let us compute the (time) derivative of E_ε^δ.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_\varepsilon^\delta}{dt}(t) &= \int \Lambda^\delta(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} u^\varepsilon + \partial_t u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^\delta(u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon \partial_t u^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^2 \Lambda^\delta(\partial_t u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^\delta(\partial_{tt} u^\varepsilon) + 2\varepsilon \Lambda^{\delta+1}(\partial_t u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\delta+1}(u^\varepsilon) \\ &= \int \Lambda^\delta(\varepsilon \partial_{tt} u^\varepsilon + \partial_t u^\varepsilon - \Delta u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^\delta(u^\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon \partial_t u^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon |\Lambda^\delta \partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 - |\Lambda^{\delta+1} u^\varepsilon|^2 \\ &= \int -\Lambda^\delta(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^\delta u^\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon \Lambda^\delta(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^\delta \partial_t u^\varepsilon - \varepsilon |\Lambda^\delta \partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 - |\Lambda^{\delta+1} u^\varepsilon|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Performing a classical Young inequality $2ab \le a^2 + b^2$ and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\frac{dE_\varepsilon^\delta}{dt}(t) \;\le\; -\int \Lambda^\delta(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^\delta u^\varepsilon + \left(\varepsilon \int |\Lambda^\delta(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon)|^2 - \int |\Lambda^{\delta+1} u^\varepsilon|^2 \right)$$

Now, let us recall that

$$u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i^\varepsilon \partial_i u^\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i (u_i^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) \tag{33}$$

since u^ε is divergence-free. Now, (29) yields

$$\|u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^\delta} \le \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\partial_i (u_i^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)\|_{\dot H^\delta} \le 4 C_1 \|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty \|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{1+\delta}} \tag{34}$$

---

[1] For definitions and properties of the Besov spaces, see the book by P.-G. Lemarié-Rieusset [6]

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int \Lambda^{\delta}(u^{\varepsilon}.\nabla u^{\varepsilon}).\Lambda^{\delta}u^{\varepsilon}\,dx\right| &\leq \|u^{\varepsilon}.\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\\
&\leq 4C_1\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\\
&\leq 4C_1C_2\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{\delta}_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2-\delta}_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The interpolation inequality

$$\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} \leq C_3\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_2^{1-\delta}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{\delta}_{\dot{H}^1} \tag{35}$$

yields finally

$$\left|\int \Lambda^{\delta}(u^{\varepsilon}.\nabla u^{\varepsilon}).\Lambda^{\delta}u^{\varepsilon}\,dx\right| \leq 4C_1C_2C_3\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_2^{1-\delta}\left(\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^1}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\right)^{\delta}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2-\delta}_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}. \tag{36}$$

Besides, since we assume $i)$ and using inequality (30), we can write, for ε small enough,

$$\|u_0^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{8C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \tag{37}$$

Now, by continuity of the (local) solution u^{ε} with respect to t, we deduce $T > 0$ and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\int|\Lambda^{\delta}(u^{\varepsilon}.\nabla u^{\varepsilon})|^2 - \int|\Lambda^{\delta+1}u^{\varepsilon}|^2 &\leq \left(16C_1^2\varepsilon\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\infty}-1\right)\int|\Lambda^{\delta+1}u^{\varepsilon}|^2\\
&\leq -\frac{1}{4}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

holds on $[0,T[$.
Hence, for all $0 \leq t < T$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}E^{\delta}_{\varepsilon}(t) \;\leq\; -\frac{1}{4}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + 4C_1C_2C_3\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_2^{1-\delta}\left(\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^1}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\right)^{\delta}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2-\delta}_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}. \tag{39}$$

Now, consider the second term on the right hand side and use Young inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\,a^{\frac{2}{\delta}} + \frac{2-\delta}{2}\,b^{\frac{2}{2-\delta}} \tag{40}$$

with $b = 2^{\delta-2}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2-\delta}_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}E^{\delta}_{\varepsilon}(t) &\leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2-\delta}{2}-1\right)\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}} + \frac{\delta}{2}\left(2^{2-\delta}4C_1C_2C_3\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_2^{1-\delta}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{\delta}_{\dot{H}^1}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{\delta}_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}\right)^{\frac{2}{\delta}}\\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{2}\,2^{2\frac{2-\delta}{\delta}}(4C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_2^{2\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^1}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the inequality

$$\|a+b\|^2 + \|a-b\|^2 = 2\|a\|^2 + 2\|b\|^2 \geq 2\|b\|^2 \tag{41}$$

with $a = \dfrac{u^{\varepsilon}}{2} + \varepsilon\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}$ and $b = \dfrac{u^{\varepsilon}}{2}$ yields, for all non negative δ, the estimate

$$E^{\delta}_{\varepsilon}(t) \;\geq\; \|u^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} + \|\varepsilon\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} \;\geq\; \frac{1}{2}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\delta}} \tag{42}$$

from which we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}E^{\delta}_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \delta\;2^{2\frac{2-\delta}{\delta}}(4C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_2^{2\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^2_{\dot{H}^1}E^{\delta}_{\varepsilon}(t). \tag{43}$$

Instead of showing that $t \mapsto E^\delta_\varepsilon(t)$ is decreasing, we will prove that $E^\delta(E^0_\varepsilon + 1)^N$ decreases if N is large enough. Even though this new energy does not seem natural, it is more convenient than $E^\delta + NE^0_\varepsilon$ since the latter would have required a smallness assumption on the L^2 norm of u^ε_0. But this would yield a weaker result than one might hope as the Navier-Stokes equation with initial data in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ unconditionally has a global solution.

First of all, let us notice that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f.(f.\nabla f) = 0$ for all divergence-free function f and that (see inequality (38))

$$\frac{dE^0_\varepsilon}{dt}(t) \ \leq \ -\frac{1}{4}\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{\dot{H}^1}. \tag{44}$$

We use it in (45) below.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\left[(E^0_\varepsilon + 1)^N E^\delta_\varepsilon\right] &= N\frac{dE^0_\varepsilon}{dt}(E^0_\varepsilon + 1)^{N-1}E^\delta_\varepsilon + (E^0_\varepsilon + 1)^N\frac{dE^\delta_\varepsilon}{dt} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\,(E^0_\varepsilon + 1)^{N-1}E^\delta_\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^1} \times \\ &\quad \times \left[-N + \delta\ (16C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}}(E^0_\varepsilon + 1)\|u^\varepsilon\|_2^{2\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Under the assumption $iii)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^0_\varepsilon(0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{|u^\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon u^\varepsilon_1|^2 + \varepsilon^2|u^\varepsilon_1|^2}{2} + \varepsilon|\nabla u^\varepsilon_0|^2\right)dx \\ &\leq \|u^\varepsilon_0\|^2_2 + \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2}\|u^\varepsilon_1\|^2_2 + \varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon_0\|^2_{\dot{H}^1} \\ &< 2\ \|u^\varepsilon_0\|^2_2 \end{aligned}$$

if ε is small enough. Now, (42) with $\delta = 0$ yields

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_2 \ \leq \ 2E^0_\varepsilon(t) \ < \ 4\|u^\varepsilon_0\|^2_2 \tag{46}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. We have obtained

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[(E^0_\varepsilon + 1)^N E^\delta_\varepsilon\right] \ \leq \ \frac{1}{4}(E^0_\varepsilon{+}1)^{N-1}E^\delta_\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^1}\left[-N + \delta\ (16C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}}(4\|u^\varepsilon_0\|^2_2)^{\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}}(2\|u^\varepsilon_0\|^2_2 + 1)\right]. \tag{47}$$

We deduce that, for N large enough (depending only on δ and $\|u^\varepsilon_0\|_2$), the right hand side is negative and

$$E^\delta_\varepsilon(t) \leq E^\delta_\varepsilon(0)(E^0_\varepsilon(0) + 1)^N < E^\delta_\varepsilon(0)(2\|u^\varepsilon_0\|^2_2 + 1)^N \tag{48}$$

for all $0 \leq t < T$. ☐

We want to reiterate the reasoning, *i.e.* to keep the control of $\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty$. The aim of Lemma 2 is to ensure this control throughout the time.

Lemma 2. *Assume the limit*

$$\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\|u^\varepsilon_1\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} \longrightarrow 0\ , \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \tag{49}$$

in addition to the assumptions (H) *in Lemma 1. Then the inequality*

$$E_\varepsilon^\delta(0) \; < \; \frac{2^{-\delta}}{(16C_1C_2)^2}\; \varepsilon^{-\delta}(2\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_2^2+1)^{-N}. \tag{50}$$

holds for ε *small enough. Moreover, for all* $t \in [0,T[$,

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty \; \leq \; \frac{1}{16C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \tag{51}$$

Proof. Easy calculations show that (50) is true as a result of (H) and (49). Now, let $t \in [0,T[$ and recall interpolation inequality (30)

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq C_2\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^\delta}^\delta \|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{1+\delta}}^{1-\delta}. \tag{52}$$

Thanks to inequality (42), we have

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty \leq C_2\sqrt{2E_\varepsilon^\delta(t)}^{\,\delta}\sqrt{\varepsilon^{-1}E_\varepsilon^\delta(t)}^{\,1-\delta} = C_2 2^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}\sqrt{E_\varepsilon^\delta(t)}. \tag{53}$$

Using (32) (conclusion of Lemma 1) and (50), we obtain

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty \leq C_2 2^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}\frac{2^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}}{16C_1C_2}\varepsilon^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} = \frac{1}{16C_1}\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{54}$$

for all $0 < t < T$. □

Remark 3. *Under the assumptions* (4) *in Theorem 1, the conditions* (H) *in Lemma 1 and* (49) *in Lemma 2 are fulfilled.*

Now, we shall prove that these estimations (on $\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty$ and, consequently, on $E_\varepsilon^\delta(t)$) remain true on the whole existence interval $[0,T_\varepsilon^{\max})$, where $T_\varepsilon^{\max}$ is the existence time given by the Picard iteration in subsection 2.1. We have already proved that $T > 0$. Assume $T < T_\varepsilon^{\max}$. Then

$$\|u^\varepsilon(T)\|_\infty = \frac{1}{8C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \tag{55}$$

On the other hand, (51) in Lemma 2 yields

$$\|u^\varepsilon(T)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{16C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}} < \frac{1}{8C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \tag{56}$$

which contradicts (55) so $T \geq T_\varepsilon^{\max}$. We deduce then from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that E_ε^δ satisfies inequality (28) on the existence interval $[0,T_\varepsilon^{\max})$.Therefore the (NLW_ε) equation has a global solution.

2.3 Convergence towards a solution to Navier-Stokes problem

Let us recall that Brenier, Natalini and Puel showed in [2] that, under suitable assumptions, the solutions to (NLW_ε), with initial data $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon) \in H^2 \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, converge to the solutions to (NS) with smooth initial data v_0 when ε goes to 0. The authors used the modulated energy method (see [2] and references therein) to show an error estimate in the $L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ norm, for all positive T.

In this section, we show a similar result with less regularity on the initial data $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon)$ and v_0, in $\mathbb{R}^2$ instead of $\mathbb{T}^2$. Indeed, we prove that, under suitable assumptions (less

restrictive than those in [2]) and for any positive δ, the solutions to (NLW_ε), with initial data $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon) \in H^{1+\delta} \times H^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)$, converge in the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ norm, when ε goes to 0, to the solutions to (NS) with initial data $v_0 \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$, $s > 0$. We recall here that the critical space for the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is L^2.
Because of the loss of regularity, the proof is not straightforward anymore. Interpolation and product estimates will help to get around the difficulties.

We shall start the proof like in [2], introducing the so-called Dafermos modulated energy, which is the total energy of the wave equation (NLW_ε), modulated by a divergence-free vector $(t,x) \mapsto v(t,x)$

$$E_{\varepsilon,v}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}|u^\varepsilon - v(t,x) + \varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \right) dx. \tag{57}$$

This energy satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon - v|^2 \, dx \leq 4E_{\varepsilon,v}(t). \tag{58}$$

Via a Gronwall inequality, we shall show that, for all positive t such that $t < T$, the modulated energy $E_{\varepsilon,v}(t)$ converges to 0 when ε goes to 0. In this purpose, let us compute the time derivative of $E_{\varepsilon,v}$.

Lemma 3 (see [2]). *If u^ε and v are divergence-free functions, the Dafermos modulated energy satisfies the identity*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}E_{\varepsilon,v}(t) \quad = \quad & \int v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v) \otimes (u^\varepsilon - v) - \varepsilon \int |\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \\ & -\varepsilon \int \partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \int \left(\varepsilon|\nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 - |\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2\right) \\ & + \int (\partial_t v + v.\nabla v - \Delta v)(v - u^\varepsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Remark 4. *This lemma is proved in [2] but the computations are somewhat different here.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dE_{\varepsilon,v}}{dt}(t) \quad = \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left((u^\varepsilon - v + \varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon).(\partial_t u^\varepsilon - \partial_t v + \varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^2\partial_t u^\varepsilon.\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon\nabla u^\varepsilon.\partial_t\nabla u^\varepsilon\right) dx \\ = \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon).(u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.(\partial_t u^\varepsilon - \partial_t v + \varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon) \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^\varepsilon.\partial_t v - \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^\varepsilon.\partial_t v + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^\varepsilon.\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta u^\varepsilon.\partial_t u^\varepsilon \\ = \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon + \partial_t u^\varepsilon - \Delta u^\varepsilon).(u^\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta u^\varepsilon.u^\varepsilon - \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v - u^\varepsilon).\partial_t v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.(\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Now, recall that u^ε is a solution to (NLW_ε). So

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dE_{\varepsilon,v}}{dt}(t) \quad = \quad & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon).(u^\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 - \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v - u^\varepsilon).(\partial_t v + v.\nabla v - \Delta v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v - u^\varepsilon).(v.\nabla v - \Delta v) \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.(\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Then gather the perfect squares.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dE_{\varepsilon,v}}{dt}(t) &= -\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\partial_t u^\varepsilon+\nabla:(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2+\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla:(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v-u^\varepsilon).(\partial_t v+v.\nabla v-\Delta v)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}u^\varepsilon.(v.\nabla v)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla v|^2\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla u^\varepsilon.\nabla v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v.\left(\Delta u^\varepsilon-\nabla:(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)\right)-\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon\\ &= -\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\partial_t u^\varepsilon+\nabla:(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2+\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla:(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v-u^\varepsilon).(\partial_t v+v.\nabla v-\Delta v)-\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(u^\varepsilon-v)|^2\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}u^\varepsilon.(v.\nabla v)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v.(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}u^\varepsilon.(v.\nabla v)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v.(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v.\nabla:(u^\varepsilon-v)\otimes(u^\varepsilon-v). \tag{60}$$

In this purpose, let us write

$$u^\varepsilon.(v.\nabla v)=\sum_{i,j}u^\varepsilon_j v_i(\partial_i v_j) \tag{61}$$

and, using that u^ε is divergence-free,

$$\begin{aligned}\int v.(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon) &= \sum_{i,j}\int u^\varepsilon_i(\partial_i u^\varepsilon_j)v_j\\ &= -\sum_{i,j}\int u^\varepsilon_i u^\varepsilon_j(\partial_i v_j)\ -\sum_j\int\sum_i(\partial_i u^\varepsilon_i)u^\varepsilon_j v_j\\ &= -\sum_{i,j}\int u^\varepsilon_i u^\varepsilon_j(\partial_i v_j).\end{aligned}$$

Adding the two expressions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(u^\varepsilon.(v.\nabla v)+v.(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon)) &= \sum_{i,j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}u^\varepsilon_j(\partial_i v_j)(v_i-u^\varepsilon_i)\\ &= -\sum_{i,j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\partial_i u^\varepsilon_j)v_j(v_i-u^\varepsilon_i)\ \text{ car } \nabla.u^\varepsilon=\nabla.v=0\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v.\nabla:(u^\varepsilon-v)\otimes(u^\varepsilon-v)-\sum_{i,j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\partial_i v_j)v_j(v_i-u^\varepsilon_i).\end{aligned}$$

Since $u^\varepsilon-v$ is divergence-free, integrating by parts gives

$$\sum_{i,j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\partial_i v_j)v_j(v_i-u^\varepsilon_i)=-\sum_{i,j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v_j(\partial_i v_j)(v_i-u^\varepsilon_i), \tag{62}$$

from which we deduce (60). □

From now on, let v be a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v - \mathbb{P}\nabla : v \otimes v \ , \quad \nabla.v = 0 \ , \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2), \tag{63}$$

where s is a positive real, so that the last term of (59) vanishes. Now, since the second term in the identity (59) is negative, (59) writes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E_{\varepsilon,v}(t) \quad &\leq \quad -\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v) \otimes (u^\varepsilon - v) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\varepsilon |\nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 - |\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2 \right) \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

We shall treat the three terms on the right hand side in the following three subsections.

First, let us recall the Duhamel formula for Navier-Stokes equation (63):

$$v(t,.) = e^{t\Delta} v_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v \otimes v)(s,.) \, ds. \tag{65}$$

Computing the time derivative of (65), we obtain

$$\partial_t v(t,.) = \Delta e^{t\Delta} v_0 - \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v \otimes v)(t,.) - \int_0^t \Delta e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v \otimes v)(s,.) \, ds. \tag{66}$$

Remark 5. *If $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then, for all positive T, the solution v to (63) satisfies*

$$v \in L^2_T H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty_T H^s(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{67}$$

2.3.1 Estimating $\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^\varepsilon \partial_t v \, dt \, dx$

In order to bound the integral by a positive power of ε, we shall find spaces $L^p_T \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $L^q_T \dot{H}^{\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ containing respectively $\partial_t v$ and $\varepsilon \partial_t u^\varepsilon$.

Step 1: Regularity of $\partial_t v$.

Lemma 4. *Let $\theta \in [0,1[$ and $v(t,x)$ be a solution to equation (63). Then, for all $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < s$,*

$$\partial_t v \in L^p_T \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2), \tag{68}$$

where $\dfrac{1}{p} = \dfrac{1-\theta}{2} + \theta = \dfrac{1+\theta}{2}$ *and* $\sigma = (1-\theta)(s-1) + \theta(s - \tilde{\varepsilon}) = s - 1 + \theta(1 - \tilde{\varepsilon})$.

Proof. Recall that

$$\partial_t v(t,.) = \Delta e^{t\Delta} v_0 - \nabla : (v \otimes v)(t,.) - \int_0^t \Delta e^{(t-s)\Delta} \nabla : (v \otimes v)(s,.) \, ds. \tag{69}$$

We shall start considering $e^{t\Delta} v_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\Delta} v_0\|^2_{L^2_T \dot{H}^{s+1}} \quad &= \quad \int_0^T \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s+2} e^{-2t|\xi|^2} |\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \, dt \\ &= \quad \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2 \left(\int_0^T |\xi|^2 e^{-2t|\xi|^2} \, dt \right) d\xi \\ &= \quad \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{-2T|\xi|^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) d\xi \\ &\leq \quad \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \ = \ \|v_0\|^2_{\dot{H}^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Delta e^{t\Delta}v_0 \in L^2_T\dot{H}^{s-1}$. Furthermore, if $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta e^{t\Delta}v_0\|^2_{\dot{H}^{s-\tilde{\varepsilon}}} &= \int_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s-2\tilde{\varepsilon}+4} e^{-2t|\xi|^2} |\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2\, d\xi \\
&= \int_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2\right)\left(|\xi|^{4-2\tilde{\varepsilon}} e^{-2t|\xi|^2}\right) d\xi \\
&\leq \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^2}\left(|\xi|^{4-2\tilde{\varepsilon}}e^{-2t|\xi|^2}\right) \times \int_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|^2\, d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2t^{2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}\ \|v_0\|^2_{\dot{H}^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\Delta e^{t\Delta}v_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{H}^{s-\tilde{\varepsilon}}} \ \leq\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^s} \int_0^T \frac{1}{t^{1-\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2}}}\, dt \ <\ \infty \tag{70}$$

and

$$\Delta e^{t\Delta}v_0 \in L^2_T\dot{H}^{s+1} \cap L^1_T\dot{H}^{s-\tilde{\varepsilon}}. \tag{71}$$

Let us consider now the term $\nabla : (v\otimes v)$. Using remark 5 and a classical Sobolev embedding, we have $v \in L^2_T L^\infty \cap L^\infty_T H^s$. Since $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^s$ is an algebra (see [1] page 98), we deduce that

$$(v\otimes v)_{i,j} \ =\ v_i v_j \in L^2_T\dot{H}^s \tag{72}$$

Consequently,

$$\nabla : (v\otimes v) \in L^2_T\dot{H}^{s-1}. \tag{73}$$

Moreover, using that $v \in L^2_T H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ being an algebra (see [1]), we obtain

$$(v\otimes v)_{i,j} \ =\ v_i v_j \in L^1_T H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2), \tag{74}$$

and $\nabla : (v\otimes v) \in L^1_T H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
Interpolating between $L^2_T\dot{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $L^1_T\dot{H}^{s-\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ and taking $\theta\in[0,1]$, we have

$$\Delta e^{t\Delta}v_0\ ,\quad \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v\otimes v) \in L^{\frac{2}{1+\theta}}\dot{H}^{s-1+\theta(1-\tilde{\varepsilon})}. \tag{75}$$

The following theorem (proved in [6] page 64), applied to $\mathbb{P}\nabla : (v\otimes v)$, allows us to conclude immediately that the integral term is also in $L^{\frac{2}{1+\theta}}\dot{H}^{s-1+\theta(1-\tilde{\varepsilon})}$ for all $\theta\in[0,1)$.

Theorem 3 (Maximal L^pL^q regularity for the heat kernel)**.** *Let A be an operator defined by*

$$f(t,x) \mapsto Af(t,x) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\Delta f(s,x)\, ds. \tag{76}$$

Then A is bounded from $L^p_TL^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to itself, for all reals $T>0$, $1<p<\infty$ and $1<q<\infty$.

□

Step 2: Regularity of $\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon$.

Lemma 5. *Let $0\leq\theta\leq 1$ and u^ε be a solution to (NLW_ε). Then*

$$\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon \in L^q_T\dot{H}^{\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^2) \tag{77}$$

where $\dfrac{1}{q} = \dfrac{1-\theta}{2}$ and $\sigma' = \theta\delta$ and

$$\|\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|_{L^q_T\dot{H}^{\sigma'}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}-\frac{\theta\delta}{2}}\right). \tag{78}$$

Proof. First, let us show that

$$\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon \in L^2_T L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \varepsilon^{-1/2}dx) \cap L^\infty_T \dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2, \varepsilon^{\delta/2}dx). \tag{79}$$

In this purpose, let us consider the energy

$$E^0_\varepsilon(t) =: \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}|u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \right) dx \tag{80}$$

and differentiate it. A simple calculation gives us

$$\frac{d}{dt}E^0_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 - \varepsilon|\nabla(u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \right) dx = 0. \tag{81}$$

Thanks to the control of the norm $\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty$ by $\dfrac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$, the last term in the right hand side is positive and we obtain

$$E^0_\varepsilon(T) + \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varepsilon|\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \, dx\, dt + \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx\, dt \le E^0_\varepsilon(0) \le C_0. \tag{82}$$

Therefore, we have that $\int_0^T\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varepsilon|\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \, dx\, dt \le C$ and $\int_0^T\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx\, dt \le C$ which gives

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \, dx\, dt \le \varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx\, dt \le C. \tag{83}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2_T L^2} &\le 2\int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varepsilon|\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \nabla\!:\!(u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \, dx\, dt + 2\varepsilon \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx\, dt \\ &\le 2C + 2C = 4C, \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

i.e. $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_t u^\varepsilon \in L^2_T L^2$ uniformly in parameter ε.

Moreover, directly from the expression of E^δ_ε and from (28), we deduce that

$$\|\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{H}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{\delta}{2}}\right). \tag{85}$$

Now, interpolating between the two spaces in (79), we obtain (77). □

We will now choose un appropriate $0 < \theta < 1$, such that

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^\varepsilon \partial_t v \, dt\, dx \longrightarrow 0 \ , \quad \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{86}$$

So we should have

$$-1 + \theta(1+\delta) < 0 \Longleftrightarrow 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{1+\delta}, \tag{87}$$

so that the power of ε controlling the integral is negative. Moreover, $L^q_T H^{\sigma'}$ is the dual space of $L^p_T H^\sigma$ if $\sigma' = -\sigma$, *i.e.*

$$\theta = \frac{1-s}{1+\delta-\tilde{\varepsilon}}. \tag{88}$$

This value of θ satisfies condition (87) and gives us

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^\varepsilon \partial_t v \, dt\, dx = \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}^{(s(1+\delta)-\tilde{\varepsilon})/(1+\delta-\tilde{\varepsilon})}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^\nu\right), \tag{89}$$

with $\nu = \dfrac{s(1+\delta)-\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2(1+\delta-\tilde{\varepsilon})} > 0$. Notice that $0 < \nu < \frac{s}{2}$ and that ν can be chosen arbitrarily close to $\frac{s}{2}$.

2.3.2 Estimating $\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v)\otimes(u^\varepsilon - v)\, dt\, dx$

Lemma 6. *Let v and u^ε be as above. We have*

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v)\otimes(u^\varepsilon - v)\, dt\, dx \leq 2\int_0^T \|v\|_{BMO}^2 E_{\varepsilon,v}(t)\, dt + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{90}$$

Proof. Let us recall that $v(t) \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset BMO(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see remark 5) and that the solution of the wave equation (NLW_ε), $u^\varepsilon(t)$, is an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ divergence-free vector. The following theorem applies.

Theorem 4 (div-curl lemma, see [4])**.** *Let f be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ divergence-free vector and $g \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then*

$$f.\nabla g \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \tag{91}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the Hardy space constructed on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Using the duality of Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $BMO(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (proved in [5]), we write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v)\otimes(u^\varepsilon - v)\, dx \leq c'\|v\|_{BMO}\|\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v)\otimes(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1},$$

where c' is a universal constant. Then the div-curl theorem (Theorem 4) applied to the $\mathcal{H}^1$ norm in the right hand side yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v)\otimes(u^\varepsilon - v)\, dx &\leq c\|v\|_{BMO}\|u^\varepsilon - v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}\\
&\leq \frac{c^2}{2}\|v\|_{BMO}^2\|u^\varepsilon - v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\overset{(58)}{\leq} 2c^2\|v\|_{BMO}^2 E_{\varepsilon,v}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

by a Young inequality. Therefore, we have showed (90). □

At this level, we have the following inequality

$$E_{\varepsilon,v}(T) - E_{\varepsilon,v}(0) \leq 2c^2\int_0^T \|v\|_{BMO}^2 E_{\varepsilon,v}(t)\, dt + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\nu) + \int_0^T\left(\varepsilon\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2\right) dt. \tag{92}$$

Let us call $\tilde{A}^\varepsilon$ the term that remains to estimate :

$$\tilde{A}^\varepsilon = \int_0^T A^\varepsilon\, dt = \int_0^T\left(\varepsilon\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2\right) dt. \tag{93}$$

2.3.3 Estimating $\int_0^T A^\varepsilon\, dt$

The aim of this part is to prove the following lemma

Lemma 7. *Let v and u^ε be as above. Then, for all positive T and for some positive μ, we have*

$$\int_0^T A^\varepsilon\, dt = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\varepsilon|\nabla(u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2\right) dt\, dx = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\mu). \tag{94}$$

Proof. First, let us write

$$\nabla(u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon) = u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon.\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v) + u^\varepsilon.\nabla v \tag{95}$$

then perform a Young inequality

$$|\nabla(u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 \leq 2|u^\varepsilon.\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2 + 2|u^\varepsilon.\nabla v|^2. \tag{96}$$

So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
A^\varepsilon &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon.\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon.\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \left(2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon.\nabla v|^2 \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{2C_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon.\nabla v|^2 \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^\varepsilon.\nabla v|^2 \ \leq \ 2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \ = \ \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^s\right)
\end{aligned}$$

since we have (see (30) and assumptions (4))

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_2 \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^\delta}^\delta \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\delta}}^{1-\delta} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-\frac{\delta}{2}\delta} \times \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{2}\delta} \times \varepsilon^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}(1-\delta)} \times \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{2}(1-\delta)} = \varepsilon^{\frac{s-1}{2}}. \tag{97}$$

Then we have obtained the lemma, with $\mu = s$:

$$\int_0^T A^\varepsilon \, dt = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^s). \tag{98}$$

□

2.3.4 Conclusion

Gathering the results in the previous three subsections, we obtain

$$E_{\varepsilon,v}(T) \leq 2c^2 \int_0^T \|v\|_{BMO}^2 E_{\varepsilon,v}(t)\, dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^\nu\right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^s) + E_{\varepsilon,v}(0). \tag{99}$$

Assuming that

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon - v_0\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{2}}\right) \tag{100}$$

in addition to assumptions (H) and (49), we have

$$E_{\varepsilon,v}(0) = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^s\right) \tag{101}$$

by the triangle inequality.
It follows that

$$E_{\varepsilon,v}(T) \leq 2c^2 \int_0^T \|v_0\|_{BMO}^2 E_{\varepsilon,v}(t)\, dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^\nu\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^s\right). \tag{102}$$

Now $\nu = \dfrac{s(1+\delta) - \tilde{\varepsilon}}{2(1+\delta-\tilde{\varepsilon})} \leq \dfrac{s}{2}$ since $s \leq 1$ so

$$E_{\varepsilon,v}(T) \leq 2 \int_0^T \|v_0\|_{BMO}^2 E_{\varepsilon,v}(t)\, dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^\nu\right). \tag{103}$$

Gronwall lemma (and $v \in L^2_T BMO(\mathbb{R}^2)$) yields

$$E_{\varepsilon,v}(T) = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\nu}\right) \tag{104}$$

for all positive T and, using inequality (58)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^{\varepsilon} - v|^2 \, dx \leq 4E_{\varepsilon,v}(t), \tag{105}$$

we deduce the convergence in the $L^\infty_T L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ norm of u^ε solution to (NLW_ε) towards v solution to the (NS) equations with initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$, where $0 < s \leq 1$. Theorem 1 is now proved.

3 The three-dimensional case : proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we shall follow the plan of the previous one: we shall start by showing local existence for the damped wave equation (NLW_ε) then we shall globalize the local solution u^ε and finally prove the convergence of u^ε towards the solution to Navier-Stokes problem with initial data in $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ and $0 < s < 1$. Let us recall again that the critical space for (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The main difference between section 2 and this one is in the last subsection.

3.1 Local existence in $\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$

We apply the same fixed point method as in the two-dimensional case. We perform the same scale change (9) and retrieve system (NLW) with initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$. Let us recall Duhamel formula for the wave equation (NLW) :

$$\phi(u)(t) = \cos(t\Lambda)u_0 + \frac{\sin(t\Lambda)}{\Lambda}u_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)\Lambda)}{\Lambda} F(u(s)) \, ds, \tag{106}$$

where $F(u) = -\partial_t u - \mathbb{P}\nabla : u \otimes u$.
We shall prove local existence for (NLW) in the complete metric space

$$\begin{aligned} X_T(a) \quad = \quad & \Big\{ (v, \partial_t v) \in L^\infty_T(\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}) \times L^\infty_T \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \ : \ \nabla . v = 0 \ , \\ & \|v\|_{X_T} := \|v\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} + \|v\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq a \Big\} , \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

with $a > 0$ and $0 \leq T < 1$ to be chosen later. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$.

As in subsection 2.1, for the two-dimensional case, we shall use the fact that the space $\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra and that the inequality

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} \right) \tag{108}$$

holds for all $f, g \in \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$.

Let us start the estimates on the X_T norm of $\phi(u)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(u)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} \quad & \leq \quad \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \int_0^t \| \frac{\sin((t-s)\Lambda)}{\Lambda} F(u(s)) \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} \, ds \\ & \leq \quad \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \int_0^t \|F(u(s))\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the integral term.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \left\| F\left(u(s)\right)\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} ds &\leq \int_0^t \left\|\partial_t u(s) + \mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t \left(\left\|\partial_t u(s)\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + \left\|\mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\right) ds \\
&\leq T\left(\left\|\partial_t u\right\|_{L^\infty_T \dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + \left\|u.\nabla u\right\|_{L^\infty_T \dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (14), we have

$$\left\|u.\nabla u\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\|\partial_i(u_i u)\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\|u_i u\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} \leq 3\times 2C\|u\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\|u\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}}$$

since (108) holds. So

$$\int_0^t \left\| F\left(u(s)\right)\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} ds \leq T\left(\left\|\partial_t u\right\|_{L^\infty_T \dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + 6C\|u\|_{L^\infty_T \dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\|u\|_{L^\infty_T \dot H^{\frac32+\delta}}\right) \tag{109}$$

which yields

$$\left\|\phi(u)(t)\right\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + Ta\left(1+6Ca\right). \tag{110}$$

By similar computations and using (108), we obtain

$$\|\phi(u)\|_{X_T} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + 2\|u_1\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + T\|u_1\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} + aT(2+T)(1+6Ca). \tag{111}$$

We choose

$$a = 4\left(\|u_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right) \tag{112}$$

and $T<1$ such that

$$T\frac{a}{4} + aT(2+T)(1+6Ca) < \frac{a}{2}. \tag{113}$$

Since $T<1$, it is sufficient to take

$$T < \frac{1}{12(1+6Ca)}. \tag{114}$$

With a and T chosen this way, the space $X_T(a)$ is stable under ϕ.

All it remains to do now is to prove that the application $\phi : X_T(a) \longrightarrow X_T(a)$ is contractive. So let $(u, \partial_t u)$ and $(v, \partial_t v)$ be in $X_T(a)$. By Duhamel formula, we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\phi(u)-\phi(v)\right](t) &= \int_0^t \frac{\sin\left((t-s)\Lambda\right)}{\Lambda}\left(\partial_t v + \mathbb{P}(v.\nabla v) - \partial_t u - \mathbb{P}(u.\nabla u)\right)(s)\, ds \\
&= \int_0^t \frac{\sin\left((t-s)\Lambda\right)}{\Lambda}\left[\partial_t(v-u) + \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v\otimes v - u\otimes u)\right](s)\, ds
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

Moreover, since u and v are divergence-free vector fields, the identity

$$\nabla : (v\otimes v - u\otimes u) = (v-u).\nabla v + u.\nabla(v-u) \tag{116}$$

holds.
Using (115) and (116), we estimate the X_T norm of $\phi(u)-\phi(v)$. We obtain

$$\|\phi(u)-\phi(v)\|_{X_T} \leq T(2+T)\,(1+12Ca)\,\|v-u\|_{X_T}. \tag{117}$$

So ϕ is contractive if, and only if, $T<1$ satisfies

$$T(2+T) < \frac{1}{1+12Ca}. \tag{118}$$

Since $T<1$, it is sufficient to take T such that

$$T < \frac{1}{3(1+12Ca)}. \tag{119}$$

We conclude then that there exists a local solution u to (NLW), defined on the time interval $[0,T[$, for all positive real T satisfying conditions (114) and (119), for example

$$T \leq \frac{1}{12+72C\left(\|u_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right)}. \tag{120}$$

In particular, while $\|u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is bounded, we can reiterate the fixed point argument and extend the solution. Let $T^{\max}$ be the maximal existence time. We shall prove that $T^{\max}=+\infty$. Following the same reasoning by contradiction as in the previous section, we assume that $T^{\max}<+\infty$. This would imply

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow +\infty\ ,\quad t\to T^{\max}. \tag{121}$$

Let us resume our wave equation (NLW_ε) with parameter ε. The scaling (9) gives

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} = \varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} + \|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \varepsilon\|\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}\right). \tag{122}$$

Indeed, for a non negative real s, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{u^\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2\,d\xi \\
&= \varepsilon^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s}|\hat u(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi)|^2\,d\xi \\
&= \varepsilon^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right|^{2s}|\hat u(\eta)|^2\ \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}d\eta \\
&= \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\|u\|^2_{\dot H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, writing $\partial_\tau u^\varepsilon(\tau,y) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}\partial_t u(\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon},\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}\partial_t u(t,\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_\tau u^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}\partial_t u(t,\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})\|^2_{\dot H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&= \varepsilon^{-3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{\partial_t u\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)}(\xi)|^2\,d\xi \\
&= \varepsilon^{-3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s}|\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\widehat{\partial_t u}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi)|^2\,d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right|^{2s}|\widehat{\partial_t u}(\eta)|^2\ \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}d\eta \\
&= \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}-s}\|\partial_t u\|^2_{\dot H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define, for positive δ, the energy

$$E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(u^\varepsilon+\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon)|^2+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}u^\varepsilon|^2\right)dx. \tag{123}$$

Then

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\varepsilon\|\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right)\leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\sqrt{E_\varepsilon^\delta}. \tag{124}$$

If we prove that $\varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\sqrt{E_\varepsilon^\delta}$ is bounded, we can deduce that the solution u^ε is global.

3.2 Globalization

Let $\delta>0$ and consider the energy $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ defined as above. We shall prove that there exists a positive constant C_0 such that

$$E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t)\leq C_0\varepsilon^{-\delta} \tag{125}$$

for all time $t>0$. In this purpose, we adapt the method used in the two-dimensional case to three space dimensions. To get around the difficulties, we use mainly interpolations and product estimates.

First, let us recall that $\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra (see [1]) and that the inequality

$$\|fg\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}}\leq C_1\left(\|f\|_{L^\infty}\|g\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}}+\|g\|_{L^\infty}\|f\|_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}}\right) \tag{126}$$

holds for all $f,g\in\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, using the embedding $\dot B^{3/2}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the interpolation inequality

$$\|f\|_{\dot B^{3/2}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\|f\|^\delta_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}\|f\|^{1-\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}}, \tag{127}$$

we obtain

$$\|f\|_\infty\leq C_2\|f\|^\delta_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}\|f\|^{1-\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}}. \tag{128}$$

Remark 6. *In $\mathbb{R}^3$, the Navier-Stokes problem with initial data v_0 in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has a global solution if $\|v_0\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is small enough. So we could show that $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}+NE_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ decreases and deduce the globality of the solution but this method requires a smallness assumption on the $\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ norm of u_0^ε which depends on δ and we would have*

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}}}\to 0$$

when δ goes to 0.

We shall prove that $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\left(1+E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^N$ decreases if N is large enough and if the $\dot H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ norm of u^ε is small enough. First, we have to prove that $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ decreases. In this purpose, let us compute the time derivative of the energy.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dE_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}{dt}(t) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}u^\varepsilon+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\varepsilon|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon)|^2-|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}u^\varepsilon|^2\right)\\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon.\Lambda u^\varepsilon+\left((6C_1)^2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_\infty-1\right)\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot H^{\frac{3}{2}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

In order to estimate the first term on the right hand side, we state the following theorem :

Theorem 5. *Let $f \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. On a*

$$| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda f.(f.\nabla f)| \leq \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \tag{130}$$

Proof. Let $u \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. First, we use the duality between $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

$$| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda f.(f.\nabla f)| \leq \|\Lambda f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f.\nabla f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{131}$$

We shall estimate the $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm of $f.\nabla f$. For this sake, let us notice that $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by a Sobolev embedding. Thus, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$f.\nabla f \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \tag{132}$$

since $\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2}{3}$. Moreover, we shall use the Sobolev embedding $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ on its dual form $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} | \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda f.(f.\nabla f)| &\leq \|\Lambda f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f.\nabla f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \|\Lambda f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f.\nabla f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \|\Lambda f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f\|^2_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \sqrt{\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}}\,. \tag{133}$$

Thus

$$| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda f.(f.\nabla f)| \leq \|f\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \tag{134}$$

which finishes the proof. ☐

Using theorem 5, we shall prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *Let us define $0 \leq T \leq T_\varepsilon^{\max}$ by*

$$T = \sup \left\{ 0 \leq \tau \leq T_\varepsilon^{\max} \ : \ \forall\ t \in [0, \tau[,\ \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty < \frac{1}{7C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right\}. \tag{135}$$

Assume $\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \dfrac{1}{16}$ and

$$\varepsilon \|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} = o(1) \tag{136}$$

when ε goes to 0. Then the energy $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ decreases on $[0, T]$ and we have

$$\frac{dE_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}{dt}(t) \leq -\frac{1}{8} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tag{137}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Let $t \in [0,T]$. Since $\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty < \dfrac{1}{7C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$, inequality (129) becomes

$$\frac{dE_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}{dt}(t) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon.\Lambda u^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{4}\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{138}$$

Now, theorem 5 (applied to first term on the right hand side) yields

$$\frac{dE_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}{dt}(t) \leq \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{1}{4}\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \left(\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{139}$$

In $t=0$, this inequality gives that $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ decreases in a neighborhood of 0. Let

$$\tau = \sup\left\{0 \leq \tilde{\tau} \leq T \ : \ E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ decreases on } [0,\tau]\right\}. \tag{140}$$

So $0 < \tau \leq T$. Assume that $\tau < T$. On the one hand, we have

$$\|u^\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < 2E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau). \tag{141}$$

On the other hand, for ε small enough, we have

$$E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \leq \|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2}\|u_1^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \varepsilon\|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} < 2\|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{142}$$

thanks to assumptions (136). Adding to that the energy decay on $[0,\tau]$, we obtain

$$\|u^\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < 2E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau) \leq 2E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \leq 4\|u_0^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{143}$$

so

$$\|u^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < 2 \times \frac{1}{16} = \frac{1}{8}. \tag{144}$$

thus $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ decreases in a neighborhood of τ and this is a contradiction with its definition. Therefore $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ decreases on $[0,T]$ and the inequality (137) is true for all $t \in [0,T]$. ☐

Lemma 9. *Assume* $\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \dfrac{1}{16}$ *and*

$$(H_1) \qquad i)\ \varepsilon^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} = o(1)\ , \qquad ii)\ \varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} = o(1). \tag{145}$$

when ε *goes to zero.*
Recall that $0 \leq T \leq T_\varepsilon^{\max}$ *is defined by*

$$T = \sup\left\{0 \leq \tau \leq T_\varepsilon^{\max} \ : \ \forall\ t \in [0,\tau[,\ \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty < \frac{1}{7C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right\}. \tag{146}$$

Then $T > 0$ *and there exists a large number* N*, depending only on* δ*, and a constant* $C > 1$ *such that*

$$E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t) \leq C^N\, E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(0) \tag{147}$$

for all $t \in [0,T)$ *and* ε *small enough.*

Proof. Let us compute the derivative of the energy.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}E_\varepsilon^{\frac12+\delta}(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(\varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon+\partial_t u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon+\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon)+\varepsilon^2\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon.\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon+ \\
&\qquad +2\varepsilon\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon.\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon\Big) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(\varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon+\partial_t u^\varepsilon-\Delta u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon+2\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon)+ \\
&\qquad +\Lambda^{\frac52+\delta}u^\varepsilon.\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\left(u^\varepsilon+2\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon\right)-\varepsilon\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(\varepsilon\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon+\partial_t u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon+ \\
&\qquad +\varepsilon^2\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon.\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_{tt}u^\varepsilon+2\varepsilon\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon.\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, recall that u^ε is a solution to (NLW_ε). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}E_\varepsilon^{\frac12+\delta}(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(-\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(\nabla : u^\varepsilon\otimes u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon+2\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon)-\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon.\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}\left(u^\varepsilon+2\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon\right) \\
&\qquad -\varepsilon|\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2+2\varepsilon\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon.\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon\Big) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(-\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}u^\varepsilon-2\varepsilon\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon- \\
&\qquad -\varepsilon|\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2-|\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon|^2\Big) \\
&\overset{\text{Young}}{\leq} -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}u^\varepsilon+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\varepsilon|\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon)|^2-|\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon|^2\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality followed by (14) and (126) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}u^\varepsilon\Big| &\leq \|u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} \\
&\leq 3\times 2C_1\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} \\
&\leq 6C_1\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}. \qquad (148)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, back to (148), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon).\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}u^\varepsilon\Big| &\leq 6C_1\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}} \\
&\leq 6C_1C_2\|u^\varepsilon\|^{1+\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\|u^\varepsilon\|^{2-\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} \\
&\leq 6C_1C_2\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\|u^\varepsilon\|^{\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\|u^\varepsilon\|^{2-\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} \\
&\leq 6C_1C_2C_3\|u^\varepsilon\|^{1-\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac12}}\left(\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac32}}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\right)^\delta\|u^\varepsilon\|^{2-\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used another interpolation inequality between $\dot H^{\frac12}$ and $\dot H^{\frac32}$:

$$\|f\|_{\dot H^{\frac12+\delta}}\leq C_3\|f\|^{1-\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac12}}\|f\|^{\delta}_{\dot H^{\frac32}}. \qquad (149)$$

Furthermore, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\varepsilon|\Lambda^{\frac12+\delta}(u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon)|^2-|\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon|^2\Big) &\leq \left((6C_1)^2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_\infty-1\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\Lambda^{\frac32+\delta}u^\varepsilon|^2 \\
&\leq -\frac14\|u^\varepsilon\|^2_{\dot H^{\frac32+\delta}} \qquad (150)
\end{aligned}$$

holds if

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty\leq\frac{1}{7C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \qquad (151)$$

For ε small enough, this condition is ensured in $t = 0$ thanks to assumptions (H_1). By continuity of the local solution u^ε with respect to the time variable t, we deduce that $T > 0$. Let $t < T$.
A Young inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} &\leq \delta 2^{2\frac{2-\delta}{\delta}} (6C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{2\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t) \\
&\leq \delta 2^{2\frac{2-\delta}{\delta}} (6C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \left(4\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2\right)^{\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t) \\
&< 16\delta\,(3C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

To alleviate the notations, let us set $K = K(\delta, C_1, C_2, C_3) = 16\delta\,(3C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}}$.

As above, in subsection 2.2, we prove that $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^N$ decreases on $[0, T)$ for ε small enough and N large enough.
Let $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $N \geq 0$.
We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^N\right)(t) &= N\frac{dE_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}{dt}\left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{N-1} E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} + \left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^N \frac{dE_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}{dt}(t) \\
&\leq \left[-\frac{N}{8} + K\left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right)\right] \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right)^{N-1} E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t) \\
&\leq \left[-\frac{N}{8} + K\left(1 + 2\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2\right)\right] \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right)^{N-1} E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Inequality (143) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^N\right)(t) \leq \left(-\frac{N}{8} + \frac{129}{128}K\right) \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right)^{N-1} E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t). \tag{152}$$

Now, taking $N \geq 8K \times \frac{129}{128} = 129\delta\,(3C_1C_2C_3)^{\frac{2}{\delta}}$, we prove that $E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^N$ decays on $[0, T]$. So

$$E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(t) \leq E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(0)\left(1 + E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)\right)^N \leq E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(0)\left(\frac{129}{128}\right)^N \tag{153}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. □

Lemma 10. *Assume the limit*

$$\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}} \|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \longrightarrow 0\ ,\quad \varepsilon \to 0 \tag{154}$$

in addition to the assumptions (H_1) *and* (136). *Then we have*

$$E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(0) = o\left(\varepsilon^{-\delta}\right). \tag{155}$$

Moreover, for all $t \in [0, T[$ *and* ε *small enough, the inequality*

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty \ \leq\ \frac{1}{14C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \tag{156}$$

holds.

We skip the proof here as it is analogous to the one of Lemma 2. As above, this lemma implies the inequality (125) and the globality of the solution u^ε.

3.3 Convergence towards a solution to (NS) problem

The aim of this subsection is to prove an error estimate in the $L^\infty_T L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ norm like in the two-dimensional case. More precisely, let $v_0 \in H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$, $s > 0$, be the initial data for the Navier-Stokes equation (1) and $(u_0^\varepsilon, u_1^\varepsilon) \in H^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \times H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$, $\delta > 0$, be the initial data for the damped wave equation (3). We will prove that, if $\|u_0^\varepsilon - v_0\|_{L^2}^2 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^s)$, then for all positive T, we have

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T)} \|(u^\varepsilon - v)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\min(s,\frac{1}{2})}\right). \tag{157}$$

Remark 7. *The natural space for the convergence is* $L^\infty_T \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ *but we were unable to show the error estimate in this space as we needed a Kato-Ponce type inequality which is unavailable (see Remark 8).*

In the following, we use again the method in section 2.3. So let us consider again the Dafermos modulated energy defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}|u^\varepsilon - v(t,x) + \varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}|\partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2\right) dx \tag{158}$$

for all divergence-free vector v. As above, this energy satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u^\varepsilon - v|^2\, dx \leq 4\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t). \tag{159}$$

We shall show that the assumptions (6) in Theorem 2 and a Gronwall inequality imply that

$$\forall\, t > 0\ ,\ \ \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t) = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\min(s,\frac{1}{2})}\right). \tag{160}$$

Let us recall the derivative of $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t) \;&=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v) \otimes (u^\varepsilon - v)\, dx - \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \nabla : u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon|^2\, dx \\ &\quad -\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon\, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\varepsilon|\nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 - |\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2\right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_t v + v.\nabla v - \Delta v).(v - u^\varepsilon)\, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{161}$$

As above in section 2.3, we take v solution to $\partial_t v + v.\nabla v - \Delta v = 0$ in the following, so that the last term in identity (161) vanishes. Moreover, the second term in (161) being negative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t) \;&\leq\; -\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t v.\partial_t u^\varepsilon\, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v) \otimes (u^\varepsilon - v)\, dx \\ &\quad + \int \left(\varepsilon|\nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)|^2 - |\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)|^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

We will estimate the three terms on the right hand side in the following three subsections.

3.3.1 Estimating $\varepsilon \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t u^\varepsilon.\partial_t v\, dt\, dx$

First, similarly to the two-dimensional case, we know that

$$\|\varepsilon\partial_t u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2_T\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{1/2}\right). \tag{163}$$

For the proof, use the decay of the energy

$$E_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon \partial_t u^\varepsilon)|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t u^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon |\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} u^\varepsilon|^2 \right) dx. \tag{164}$$

Now, let us consider v solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v - \mathbb{P}\nabla : (v \otimes v) \ , \quad \nabla.v = 0 \ , \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0 \in H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \ s > 0. \tag{165}$$

We know that

$$v \in L^2_T H^{s+\frac{3}{2}} \cap L^\infty_T H^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \tag{166}$$

so we have obviously $\Delta v \in L^2_T \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and, using the sobolev embedding $H^{s+\frac{3}{2}} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and that $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra, we prove that

$$v \otimes v \in L^2_T \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{167}$$

which implies that

$$\partial_t v \in L^2_T \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{168}$$

(163) and (168) yield the estimation

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t u^\varepsilon . \partial_t v \, dt \, dx = \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{169}$$

3.3.2 Estimating $\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v) \otimes (u^\varepsilon - v) \, dt \, dx$

In order to apply the argument in the second subsection of part 2.3, let us recall that $v(t) \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset BMO(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and that $u^\varepsilon, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are divergence-free. The div-curl lemma and inequality (159) yield

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v.\nabla : (u^\varepsilon - v) \otimes (u^\varepsilon - v) \, dt \, dx \leq 2c^2 \int_0^T \|v\|^2_{BMO} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t) \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\nabla (u^\varepsilon - v)\|^2_{L^2} \, dt. \tag{170}$$

At this level, we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(T) - \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(0) \quad \leq \quad & 2c^2 \int_0^T \|v\|^2_{BMO} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t) \, dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) \\ & + \int_0^T \left(\varepsilon \|\nabla : u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla (u^\varepsilon - v)\|^2_{L^2} \right)(t) \, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

Let us set

$$\int_0^T A^\varepsilon(t) \, dt := \int_0^T \left(\varepsilon \|\nabla : u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla (u^\varepsilon - v)\|^2_{L^2} \right)(t) \, dt. \tag{172}$$

It remains to estimate the last term $\int_0^T A^\varepsilon(t) \, dt$.

3.3.3 Estimating $\int_0^T A^\varepsilon(t) \, dt$

We treat this term exactly the same way as in section 2.3. First, let us exhibit a term in $u^\varepsilon - v$ that is bound to vanish:

$$\nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon) = u^\varepsilon . \nabla u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon . \nabla (u^\varepsilon - v) + u^\varepsilon . \nabla v \tag{173}$$

then a Young inequality yields

$$\|\nabla : (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\|u^\varepsilon.\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|u^\varepsilon.\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{174}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^\varepsilon(t) &= \varepsilon\|\nabla : u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon.\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon.\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \left(2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon - v)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon.\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using that $\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty^2 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^s)$ and that $v \in L_T^2\dot{H}^1$, we obtain

$$\int_0^T A^\varepsilon(t)\,dt \leq 2\varepsilon\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty^2\|\nabla v\|_{L_T^2L^2}^2 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^s) \tag{175}$$

if ε is small enough.

Remark 8. *If we study the convergence in the* $L_T^\infty\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *norm instead of the* $L_T^\infty L^2$ *one, we need, at this point, to estimate* $\|u^\varepsilon.\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ *by* $C\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty\|u^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} + K(u^\varepsilon)$*, with* $K(u^\varepsilon)$ *going to zero as* ε *goes to zero (see Remark 7).*

3.3.4 Conclusion

Finally, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(T) \leq 2c^2\int_0^T \|v\|_{BMO}^2\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(t)\,dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^s) + \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(0). \tag{176}$$

Assuming that

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon - v\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon\|u_1^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{2}}\right), \tag{177}$$

we have immediately the estimate

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(0) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^s). \tag{178}$$

Let us recall that $v \in L_T^2BMO(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Gronwall lemma implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,v}(T) = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\min(s,\frac{1}{2})}\right). \tag{179}$$

Now, (159) yields the announced error estimate and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

References

[1] S. Alinhac and P. Gérard. *Pseudo-differential operators and the Nash-Moser theorem. (Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels et théorème de Nash-Moser.).* Savoirs Actuels. Paris: InterEditions. Paris: Editions du CNRS. 188 p. , 1991.

[2] Y. Brenier, R. Natalini, and M. Puel. On a relaxation approximation of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 132(4):1021–1028, 2004.

[3] C. Cattaneo. Sulla conduzione del calore. *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ., Modena*, 3:83–101, 1949.

[4] R. Coifman, P.-L. Lions, Y. Meyer, and S. Semmes. Compensated compactness and hardy spaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 72:247–286, 1993.

[5] C. L. Fefferman and E. M. Stein. H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.*, 129:137–193, 1972.

[6] P.-G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem.* Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.

[7] B. Martuzans, A. Terentyev, and Yu. Skryl. Derivation of hyperbolic transfer equations from first principles. *Latvian Journal of Physics and Technical Sciences*, 1:23–33, 2005.

[8] M. Paicu and G. Raugel. A hyperbolic perturbation of the Navier-Stokes equations. (Une perturbation hyperbolique des équations de Navier-Stokes.). *ESAIM, Proc.*, 21:65–87, 2007.